





# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/214,875	04/19/1999	HUBERT MANHES	02581P0045A	8095
75	90 01/04/2002			
WESLEY W WHITMYER JR ST ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS 986 BEDFORD STREET STAMFORD, CT. 060055610			EXAMINER	
			LEWIS, WILLIAM W	
STAMFORD, CT 069055619			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			DATE MAILED: 01/04/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

t .	Ta.				
Application No. Applicant(s)					
09/214,875 MANHES, HUBE	RT				
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit					
William W Lewis 3731	,				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ely. communication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 February 2001</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 24-31 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-29</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30 and 31</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)	).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	ar applications.				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N	lo(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	* *				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 3731

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

Figure 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the spring-biased joints and the flange being displaceable must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 24, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sorensen et al. (US Patent 5,320,627).

Art Unit: 3731

The Sorensen et al. reference discloses a "trocar sleeve" (10) comprising an elongated part (14) with a passage that is capable of allowing the insertion of an "instrument" (24a, 26a) and pivotable parts (16a-d) that come together and form a point that appears capable of "cutting" through tissue. The introductory statements of intended use and all other statements of function have been carefully considered but deemed not to impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over the Sorensen et al. structure which is capable of operating as claimed in its broadest sense.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 25, 26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sorensen et al. '627.

The Sorensen et al. reference teaches of various methods of opening the pivotable parts (16a-d). See column 9; lines 15-68 and column 10, lines 1-53. It is known in the art to utilize spring-biased joints to open and close surgical members remotely. To utilize spring-biased joints on the Sorensen et al. device would have been within the level of one at the time of the invention since the advantages of using of such devices are known.

Art Unit: 3731

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 30 and 31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 24-31 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William W Lewis whose telephone number is (703) 308-0060. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30-11:30 and 1:00-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Recla can be reached on (703) 308-1382. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3731

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

WL

December 30, 2001

WILLIAM W. LEWIS